

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 EDWIN THOMAS SNELL,

11 Plaintiff,

No. 2:12-cv-1779 DAD P

12 vs.

13 EDMUND G. BROWN et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Plaintiff, a former state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action
17 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has paid the filing fee for this action.

18 The federal venue statute provides that a civil action “may be brought in (1) a
19 judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in
20 which the district is located, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or
21 omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of
22 the action is situated, or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as
23 provided in this action, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court’s
24 personal jurisdiction with respect to such action.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

25 In this case, plaintiff complains about civil rights violations that allegedly took
26 place in Los Angeles County and San Bernardino County. Los Angeles County and San

1 Bernardino County are both located within the boundaries of the Central District of California.

2 In the interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong district to the
3 correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a)

4 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is transferred to the U.S. District
5 Court for the Central District of California.

6 DATED: July 12, 2012.

7
8
9 DAD:9
10 snel1779.21c



DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE